

# राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार  
तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001



## National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India  
3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 23461600, www.nhidcl.com

(भारत सरकार का उद्यम)

(A Government of India Enterprise)

NHIDCL/Assam/NH-37/Silchar-JiribamPkg-1/231750 | 2870

Date: 13.12.2023

### REPLY OF PRE-BID QUERIE

Sub: Four laning of Silchar (near Nutan Dayapur village) - Budha Nagar Section under Package: SJ-1 of NH-37 from Existing km 260+000 (D. Ch.4+560) to Existing km 233+000 (D. Ch. 24+560) in the State of Assam on Hybrid Annuity Mode - Reply of the Pre-Bid query - Reg.

Tender ID: 2023\_NHIDC\_778963\_1

Replies of Pre-Bid queries submitted by the Bidders are mentioned below:

Sl. No.	Reference to Clause/Page no.	Description of Query	Desired Modification	NHIDCL Reply
1.	Typical Cross Section Main carriageway, Schedule B	Typical Cross Section (TCS-5, TCS-6, TCS-7, TCS-8, TCS-9 & TCS-10) do not shown Granular Shoulder on top.	It is requested to confirm whether GSB is to be provided or not over earthen shoulder.	As per Section 5 specified in paragraphs 5.10 and 5.11 of the Manual and 2 (v) of Sh. B
2.	Para 5 (iii) Schedule B	The minimum thickness is provided for Main Carriageway for 40 MSA and Service Road for 10 MSA with CBR 6%	Please confirm the type of pavement shall be in accordance with IRC SP: 84 - 2019 for minimum 42 MSA and Concessionaire is free to choose any type of crust composition as per IRC 37-2018.	As per bidding document
3.	Schedule B	In footnote of every, TCS Schedule proposal table, it is stated that:  TCS schedule as given in Appendix B-I shall be treated as an approximate assessment. The actual length of the TCS schedule shall be prepared by the contractor based on detailed investigations and site requirements. Any variation in length of respective TCS specified in Schedule B shall not	It is requested to remove such open-ended clause as per spirit of NHAI circular issued on 17 Sep 2020.	

*Sam*

Sl. No.	Reference to Clause/Page no.	Description of Query	Desired Modification	NHIDCL Reply
		<p>constitute a change of scope, save and except any variations in the length arising out of a change of scope expressly undertaken in accordance with the provisions of Article 13 of EPC Contract agreement.</p> <p>The above statement is contradictory to the <b>NHAI Circular NHAI/Policy Guidelines/Miscellaneous Guidelines/2020 No. 18.53/2020 Dated 17th September 2020, Point E) Project Preparation (Sr. No. 03 &amp; 08)</b>, "the location and type of junctions should be clearly mentioned in Schedule-B" and "Scope of Works in the Project should be precisely defined in Schedule-B &amp; C".</p>		As per bidding document
4.	Schedule B	<p>In footnote of every, Road Side Drainage proposal table, it is stated that:</p> <p>The above locations are minimum. Additional locations, if any required as per site condition shall be provided as per manual. It shall not be treated as a change in scope of work.</p> <p>The above statement is contradictory to the <b>NHAI Circular NHAI/Policy Guidelines/Miscellaneous Guidelines/2020 No. 18.53/2020 Dated 17th September 2020, Point E) Project Preparation (Sr. No. 03 &amp; 08)</b>, "the location and type of junctions should be clearly mentioned in Schedule-B" and "Scope of Works in the Project should be precisely defined in Schedule-B &amp; C".</p>	<p>It is requested to remove such open-ended clause as per the spirit of NHAI circular issued on 17 Sep 2020.</p>	As per bidding document
5.	Schedule B	<p>In foot note of every, Service roads /Slip Road /Connecting Roads proposal table, it is stated that:</p> <p>The length of service road / connecting road shown in above table is minimum and may increase as per actual site</p>	<p>It is requested to remove such open-ended clause as per spirit of NHAI circular issued on 17 Sep 2020.</p>	As per bidding document

*Scanned*

Sl. No.	Reference to Clause/Page no.	Description of Query	Desired Modification	NHIDCL Reply
		<p>conditions and No Change of Scope shall be admissible on this account.</p> <p>The above statement is contradictory to the <b>NHAI Circular NHAI/Policy Guidelines/Miscellaneous Guidelines/2020 No. 18.53/2020 Dated 17th September 2020, Point E) Project Preparation (Sr. No. 03 &amp; 08),</b> "the location and type of junctions should be clearly mentioned in Schedule-B" and "Scope of Works in the Project should be precisely defined in Schedule-B &amp; C".</p>		
6.	Schedule C- Bus-bays and Bus shelters	In Schedule "C" location of Nos. Bus-bays and Bus shelters has been provided.	Kindly confirm whether the provided location is bus bay for bus shelter	As per bidding document.
7.	Schedule B – ROB	The approved GAD of ROB is not provided. Please provide the approved GAD of ROB.	Please provide the approved stamped GAD of ROB.	GADs are under approval from Railways, GADs are enclosed.
8.	Sub Clause 7(II) Bridges	<p>In Sub Clause 7(II) Bridges of Schedule B, it is mentioned that:</p> <p><i>"Proposed length of structures is minimum and the same shall be finalized as per site condition in accordance with the Manual in consent with the concerned authority. Any increase in length/span/height shall not be treated as change in scope of work."</i></p> <p>As per <b>NHAI Circular NHAI/Policy Guidelines/Miscellaneous Guidelines/2020 No. 18.53/2020 Dated 17th September 2020, Point E) Project Preparation (Sr. No. 04 &amp; 08), Words</b> "Tentative, approximate, minimum etc." must not be used in defining the Scope" and "Scope of Works in the Project should be precisely defined in Schedule-B &amp; C".</p>	<p>It is requested to remove such open-ended clause as per spirit of NHAI circular issued on 17 Sep 2020.</p>	As per bidding document.

*Scanned*

Sl. No.	Reference to Clause/Page no.	Description of Query	Desired Modification	NHIDCL Reply
		From the above it is clear that the Schedule is not in compliance of NHAI Circular issued on 17 Sep 2020.		
9.	Sub Clause 7(d) Culverts	<p>As per NHAI Circular NHAI/Policy Guidelines/Miscellaneous Guidelines/2020 No. 18.53/2020 Dated 17th September 2020, Point E) Project Preparation (Sr. No. 04 &amp; 08), Words "Tentative, approximate, minimum etc." must not be used in defining the Scope" and "Scope of Works in the Project should be precisely defined in Schedule-B &amp; C".</p> <p>It is noted in every section it is stated that "Location of culverts are indicative and span arrangement is minimum specified. Exact location of these culverts may be decided in consultation with Authority Engineer. The actual location/vent way/span arrangements of culverts shall be determined on the basis of detailed investigations by the Contractor in accordance with the Specifications and Standards. Any variations in number of culverts/vent way/span arrangements specified in this Schedule-B shall not constitute a Change of Scope, save and except any variations in the length arising out of a Change of Scope expressly undertaken in accordance with the provisions of Article 13 of EPC Contract Agreement."</p> <p>From the above it is clear that the Schedule is not in compliance of NHAI Circular issued on 17 Sep 2020.</p>	It is requested to remove such open-ended clause as per spirit of NHAI circular issued on 17 Sep 2020.	As per bidding document.
10.	Sub Clause 7(iii) Rail Road Bridges	<p>As per NHAI Circular NHAI/Policy Guidelines/Miscellaneous Guidelines/2020 No. 18.53/2020 Dated 17th September 2020, Point E)</p>	It is requested to remove such open-ended clause as per spirit of	

Sony

Sl. No.	Reference to Clause/Page no.	Description of Query	Desired Modification	NHIDCL Reply
		<p><b>Project Preparation (Sr. No. 04 &amp; 08),</b>  <b>Words</b> "Tentative, approximate, minimum etc." must not be used in defining the Scope" and "Scope of Works in the Project should be precisely defined in Schedule-B &amp; C".</p> <p>It is noted in every section it is stated that <i>"The proposed span arrangement of ROB's are minimum. It may be subject to change as per availability of railway boundaries/ requirement of the railways. Any increase in the cost due to a change in the span arrangement and total length shall not be treated as a change of scope of work"</i></p> <p>From the above it is clear that the Schedule is not in compliance of NHAI Circular issued on 17 Sep 2020.</p>	NHAI circular issued on 17 Sep 2020.	As per bidding document.
11.	Bridges	It is understood that the contractor is free to choose the span arrangement of the bridges keeping the total length of bridge unchanged.	Kindly confirm.	As per bidding document.
12.	Structure	It is understood that the contractor is free to choose the type of superstructure and substructure for any structure based on own economical assessments and construction methodology.	Kindly confirm.	As per bidding document.
13.	General	Geotechnical investigation report is not provided.	Please provide the Geotechnical Investigation report for all structure locations.	As per bidding document.
14.	General	Hydrology Report for bridges is not provided.	Please provide the hydrology report for all bridge locations.	As per bidding document.
15	1.2.4	A Bidder is required to deposit, along with its Bid, a Bid Security of Rs. 8.53 Crores (Rupees eight crore fifty three lakh only) (the "Bid Security"), refundable not later than 90 (ninety) days from the Bid Due Date, except in the case of the Selected Bidder whose Bid Security shall be retained till it has	Requested to Authority to add the Physical submission of Bank guarantee in addition to e-BG, Insurance Surety Bond, Account Payee Demand Draft, Banker's Cheque	Refer Corrigendum-I





Sl. No.	Reference to Clause/Page no.	Description of Query	Desired Modification	NHIDCL Reply
		provided a Performance Security under the Agreement. The Bidders will have an option to provide Bid Security in the form of Insurance Surety Bond, Account Payee Demand Draft, Banker's Cheque or Electronic Bank Guarantee (e-Bank Guarantee) acceptable to the Authority, and in such event, the validity period of Insurance Surety Bond, Account Payee Demand Draft, Banker's Cheque or eBank Guarantee shall not be less than 120 (one Hundred and twenty) days from the Bid Due Date with a claim period of 60 (sixty) days, And may be extended as may be mutually agreed between the Authority and the Bidder from time to time and that the validity of the demand draft shall not be less than 60 (sixty) days from the Bid Due Date. Upon submission of demand draft, the same shall be encashed by the Authority. The Insurance Surety Bond		
16	1.3 (Schedule of bidding process s.no.6 )	---	Requested to the authority to extend Bid due date for 30 days (13/01/2024 ) i.e. from original bid due date ( 14/12/2023 )	Refer Corrigendum-I
17		With reference to the above subject, in Main Report provided along with DCA at page no 429 the project cost is given as 603.29 Crore, excluding GST. But the tender was called for 489.02 Cr which include interest during the construction period	--	As per Corrigendum-I



(Saurav Deo)

Dy. General Manger (Tech.)